

1
2
3
4 JANE DOE,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

8 Defendants.

9 Case No. [19-cv-03310-JSC](#)

10
11 **ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTIONS TO SEAL**

12 Re: Dkt. No. 183

13 Pending before the Court is Uber's motion to seal excerpts from the depositions of Plaintiff
14 and her boyfriend. Uber notes that the protective order governing this case provides that
15 deposition transcripts are presumptively confidential until 15 days after the deposition date and
16 that at the time Uber filed the deposition excerpts the 15 days had not run. The 15 days has now
17 run, and no party has submitted a declaration establishing that any of the excerpted deposition
18 testimony is appropriate for sealing. See N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 79-5. The Court's own review also
19 did not reveal any material that should be sealed beyond Plaintiff's name and address.

20 Accordingly, the administrative motion to seal is DENIED.

21 Uber shall refile the motion and supporting documents on the public docket redacting only
22 Plaintiff's name and address.

23 This Order disposes of Docket No. 183.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: March 10, 2022

26
27
28 
JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
United States Magistrate Judge